

Home Search Collections Journals About Contact us My IOPscience

Phase transformations of amorphous semiconductor alloys under high pressures

This article has been downloaded from IOPscience. Please scroll down to see the full text article. 2002 J. Phys.: Condens. Matter 14 11213 (http://iopscience.iop.org/0953-8984/14/44/456)

View the table of contents for this issue, or go to the journal homepage for more

Download details: IP Address: 171.66.16.97 The article was downloaded on 18/05/2010 at 17:17

Please note that terms and conditions apply.

J. Phys.: Condens. Matter 14 (2002) 11213-11221

PII: S0953-8984(02)39341-X

11213

Phase transformations of amorphous semiconductor alloys under high pressures

V E Antonov, O I Barkalov, V K Fedotov, A I Harkunov and E G Ponyatovsky

Institute of Solid State Physics, RAS, 142432 Chernogolovka, Moscow District, Russia

Received 1 June 2002 Published 25 October 2002 Online at stacks.iop.org/JPhysCM/14/11213

Abstract

The paper reviews the results of experimental studies and thermodynamical modelling of metastable T-P diagrams of initially amorphous GaSb–Ge and Zn–Sb alloys which provide a new insight into the problem of pressure-induced amorphization.

1. Introduction

Among the methods of solid-state amorphization, spontaneous amorphization of quenched high-pressure phases during their heating at ambient pressure is one of the most advantageous for producing bulk homogeneous samples. The first observations of this phenomenon were by McDonald *et al* [1] for the GaSb compound in 1965 and then independently by Belash and Ponyatovsky [2] for the Zn–Sb alloys in 1977. In the past few decades, amorphization of solids resulting from thermobaric treatments at temperatures below that of the glass transition has drawn the attention of many researchers, and it has been observed in various systems and for various treatments (while increasing and decreasing static pressures, in shock waves, upon deformation under pressure, etc). A lot of works have been devoted also to phase transformations in initially amorphous substances prepared by various techniques and subjected to high pressure at room temperature [3, 4].

The present paper will briefly review and discuss the results of our investigations on phase transformations occurring in the amorphous semiconductors GaSb–Ge [5] and Zn–Sb [6, 7] under high pressure at room and elevated temperatures up to 350 °C. The analysis of stable and metastable equilibria in the extended temperature range resulted in a new approach to amorphization in these and many other substances (Ge, Si, C, GaSb, Cd–Sb, etc), characterized by a decrease in the melting temperature of the crystalline low-pressure phase with increasing pressure.

2. The (GaSb)₃₈Ge₂₄ alloy [5]

2.1. The experimental T-P diagram

The $(GaSb)_{38}Ge_{24}$ amorphous alloy shows the highest thermal stability among amorphous semiconductors produced by solid-state amorphization of high-pressure phases [8, 9]. We

0953-8984/02/4411213+09\$30.00 © 2002 IOP Publishing Ltd Printed in the UK



Figure 1. The T-P diagram of phase transitions in the initially amorphous $(GaSb)_{38}Ge_{24}$. The labels: sup = semiconductor unordered phase; hpp = high-pressure ' β -Sn'-type phase; sph + dia = *a* mixture of the sphalerite-like and diamond-like low-pressure phases. The asterisks show the positions of the irreversible sup \rightarrow sph + dia transition at increasing temperature or pressure. The solid and open triangles stand for the sup \rightarrow hpp and hpp \rightarrow sup transitions at increasing and decreasing pressure, respectively. The solid and open circles indicate the sph + dia \rightarrow hpp and hpp \rightarrow sph + dia transitions, also at increasing and decreasing pressure. The labels with slashes mark the fields where either of the indicated phase compositions was possible depending on the previous treatment.

used it therefore as a model system. Figure 1 presents the T-P phase diagram of this alloy constructed from the results of electrical resistance measurements and x-ray analyses of the samples quenched under pressure to 100 K.

At ambient pressure and temperatures below $630 \,^{\circ}$ C, the equilibrium state of the $(GaSb)_{38}Ge_{24}$ alloy is a two-phase mixture of a GaSb-rich phase with a sphalerite-like structure and a Ge-rich phase with a diamond-like structure [10]. To prepare the starting amorphous $(GaSb)_{38}Ge_{24}$, the sample was transformed to the single-phase high-pressure-phase (hpp) state of this composition by exposing it to 7.5 GPa and 250 °C, quenched to 100 K under pressure, and finally transformed to an amorphous state (semiconductor unordered phase sup) by heating at ambient pressure to $150 \,^{\circ}$ C at a rate of $20 \,^{\circ}$ C min⁻¹. The neutron diffraction investigation showed [11] that amorphous (GaSb)₃₈Ge₂₄ thus prepared contains no crystalline inclusions.

Phase transformations in the samples never heated above 100 °C were qualitatively different from the transformations observed at higher temperatures. This allows one to consider the T-P diagram in figure 1 as being composed of two separate parts, above and below 100 °C. The labelling of the fields in figure 1 takes account of this separation. The hpp that forms via a complex, multi-step sph + dia \rightarrow hpp transition at T > 150 °C and the hpp formed from the metastable sup at $T \leq 100$ °C and pressures exceeding 4.5–5 GPa are crystallographically identical. The sup \rightarrow hpp transition is reversible, and the pressure of amorphization of the hpp increases from nearly atmospheric at 17 °C to 2.7 GPa at 100 °C.

The T-P diagram relevant for the discussion of the effect of pressure and temperature on phase transformations of a-(GaSb)₃₈Ge₂₄ is actually the diagram of 'isoconcentrational' equilibria between the phases with a fixed composition of (GaSb)₃₈Ge₂₄. In fact, the amorphous state is formed from and transforms to the phases with this composition only. At $T \leq 100$ °C, such a phase is the hpp. At T > 100 °C, a homogeneous sphalerite-like (GaSb)₃₈Ge₂₄ solid solution (low-pressure phase; lpp, hereafter) forms first as an intermediate metastable phase in the course of the sup crystallization to the thermodynamically equilibrium sph + dia mixture [9].



Figure 2. The T-P diagram of metastable 'isoconcentrational' phase equilibria involving the lpp (solid curves) and the sup (dashed curves) of the (GaSb)₃₈Ge₂₄ alloy.

2.2. Metastable equilibria involving the lpp and sup

The metastable T-P diagram of phase equilibria in the quasi-one-component (GaSb)₃₈Ge₂₄ system cannot be obtained directly in experiment. Nevertheless, it can be outlined rather reliably by interpolating T-P diagrams [12] of GaSb and Ge and using the calculated [13] temperature $T_0 = 600 \,^{\circ}$ C of the 'isoconcentrational' melting of the (GaSb)₃₈Ge₂₄ lpp at ambient pressure. The diagram thus constructed is shown in figure 2 using solid curves. It is characterized by a triple point at around 5 GPa and 350 $^{\circ}$ C of metastable equilibria among three phases, the lpp, the hpp, and the metallic liquid. The liquid is called a metallic unordered phase (mup) hereafter, so the same name can be used for this phase when it is in the equilibrium liquid state as well as in the undercooled liquid and amorphous states. The line of the lpp \leftrightarrow hpp equilibrium coincides well with the experimental sph + dia \leftrightarrow hpp line from figure 1.

The 'isoconcentrational' diagram of $(GaSb)_{38}Ge_{24}$ is thus an analogue of those [12] for Ge and GaSb. With a T-P diagrams of this type, solid-state amorphization of the high-pressure phase is usually associated with the loss of its thermodynamic stability with respect to the long-range order when the phase crosses its extrapolated melting curve at a temperature which is low enough to suppress transformations to other crystalline modifications. This hypothesis of 'cold melting' was first formulated by Mishima *et al* [14]. Later the hypothesis of 'cold melting' as a cause of pressure-induced solid-state amorphization was adopted and widely used by many researchers including the authors of the present paper.

According to our DSC measurements, amorphization of the $(GaSb)_{38}Ge_{24}$ hpp at ambient pressure begins on heating to about -130 °C. To agree with the 'cold-melting' hypothesis, the melting curve of the hpp in figure 2 is extrapolated towards low pressures so as to cross the *y*-axis below this temperature.

As seen from figure 1, the sup \leftrightarrow hpp transformation in $(GaSb)_{38}Ge_{24}$ at $T \leq 100$ °C appears as a typical first-order phase transformation: it is reversible and exhibits a hysteresis decreasing with increasing temperature. This is possible only in the case where each of the final states of the transformation is a phase, i.e. the state corresponding to a minimum of the thermodynamic potential at given external parameters. The amorphous state in the $(GaSb)_{38}Ge_{24}$ system is thus a classic phase, though a metastable one, and not just a 'frozen' intermediate state.



Figure 3. The T-P diagram of metastable equilibria in the (GaSb)₃₈Ge₂₄ alloy. The dashed curves labelled 's' and 'm' show the boundaries of thermodynamic stability of the sup and mup, respectively. The open circle marks the pseudo-critical point.

As both sup and hpp are phases, the line of the sup \leftrightarrow hpp equilibrium should pass somewhere between the sup \rightarrow hpp and hpp \rightarrow sup lines in the T-P diagram of (GaSb)₃₈Ge₂₄. The available experimental data allow one to determine the position of this line more accurately.

The slope of an equilibrium line should follow the Clapeyron equation $dT/dP = T \Delta V/\Delta H$, where ΔV and ΔH are the differences between the volumes and enthalpies of the two phases in equilibrium at given T and P (if not specified, the temperature is in kelvins hereafter). With the room temperature experimental values of the integral heat of the hpp \rightarrow sup transition at ambient pressure of $\Delta H^0 = +3.5$ kJ mol⁻¹, the pressure of the sup \leftrightarrow hpp equilibrium of P = 2.5 GPa (this is the centre of the hysteresis interval at room temperature; see figure 1), and the volumes of $V_{sup} = 16.3$ cm³ mol⁻¹ and $V_{hpp} = 13.2$ cm³ mol⁻¹, the Clapeyron equation gives $dT/dP \approx +200$ K GPa⁻¹.

The sup \leftrightarrow hpp line with this slope is constructed in figure 2. As is seen, it intersects the hpp \leftrightarrow mup line at about 3.9 GPa and 570 K. In accordance with the phase rule, this intersection should be a triple point and give rise to a third line, the line of the sup \leftrightarrow mup equilibrium.

The line of the sup \leftrightarrow mup equilibrium is a new element for the T-P diagrams with semiconductor amorphous phases. As a line of metastable equilibrium, it extends on both sides of the triple point (figure 3) and describes equilibrium between the sup and mup, which can be considered as liquid or solid (i.e. amorphous) phases depending on their viscosity at a given temperature. The sup \leftrightarrow mup line has never been observed in experiment, but its existence and approximate position were predicted for GaSb, InSb, and InAs compounds as a result of model calculations [15].

In the case of the $(GaSb)_{38}Ge_{24}$ system the position of the sup \leftrightarrow mup line can be determined rather accurately prior to model calculations. In fact, the slope of this line, $dT/dP = T \Delta V/\Delta H = \Delta V/\Delta S$, where S is the entropy, should be negative because $\Delta S > 0$ and $\Delta V < 0$ when any covalent substance melts to a metallic liquid [15, 16]. Moreover, the value of ΔS should be of the order of 30 J K⁻¹ mol⁻¹ if the substance is a covalent compound, and the value of ΔV should not differ much from that for the sup \leftrightarrow hpp transformation [15]. This yields dT/dP of the order of -100 K GPa⁻¹. The sup \leftrightarrow mup line with dT/dP = -100 K GPa⁻¹, however, would intersect the lpp \leftrightarrow mup line at about 1.5 GPa. This does not look probable because it means that a metal-to-semiconductor transition should occur in the (GaSb)₃₈Ge₂₄ melt at ambient pressure, whereas the melts of various diamondlike and sphalerite-like semiconductors studied at ambient pressure were shown to be metals with low concentrations of semiconductor clusters at all temperatures down to the melting point [17]. The sup \leftrightarrow mup line plotted in figure 3 has dT/dP = -70 K GPa⁻¹ which corresponds to $\Delta S = 44$ J K⁻¹ mol⁻¹.

2.3. Model calculations

To find out more about the sup \leftrightarrow mup equilibrium, we used a simple two-level model which was first developed to describe the T-P diagram of cerium undergoing an isomorphic transition [18] and then was successfully applied to the transitions between amorphous phases in ice [19] and GaSb, InSb, and InAs alloys [15]. The basic concept of this model assumes that both the mup and the sup consist of clusters of two types, metallic and semiconductor. These clusters are considered as two components of the unordered system, and the Gibbs potential, G(C), is written in the approximation of regular solutions. However, by contrast to the standard approximation of regular solution, the concentration C of the metallic component is not an independent variable; its value is determined by the minimum conditions: $\partial G/\partial C = 0$; $\partial^2 G/\partial C^2 > 0$.

As a function of *C*, the Gibbs potential can have one or two minima depending on the T-P region. Two minimum values of G(C) are equal along a straight line, which represents the first-order phase transformation between the mup and sup and terminates in a critical point at T_{cr} where the two minima coincide. The points on the T-P plane, where one of the two minima of G(C) degenerates to an inflection point, form two lines starting from the critical point. These are spinodals, or the lines of complete loss of thermodynamic stability of one of the phases.

The Gibbs potential thus constructed can be specified in a unique fashion by the values of four parameters: U, ΔV , ΔS , and ΔE_0 , where $U = 2RT_{cr}$ is the mixing energy, while the other parameters are the differences between the volumes, entropies, and internal energies of the components. In the case of $(GaSb)_{38}Ge_{24}$, ΔV is equal to 3.1 cm³ mol⁻¹, and $\Delta E = 36.2$ kJ mol⁻¹ and $\Delta S = 44$ J K⁻¹ mol⁻¹ can be determined from the position of the sup \leftrightarrow mup line shown in figure 3. The value of U = 19 kJ mol⁻¹ was chosen so that C = 92 vol% at ambient pressure and 873 K which is the point of 'isoconcentrational' melting of the hpp. This concentration of the metallic component was observed [17] at the melting temperature in liquid GaSb, the nearest analogue of (GaSb)₃₈Ge₂₄.

With the chosen value of U, the line of the sup \leftrightarrow mup equilibrium terminates at a critical point at about 1140 K and a negative pressure of about -4.3 GPa. The calculated spinodals are shown in figure 3 by the dashed curves. The sup is no longer a phase above the line labelled 's' and the mup cannot exist below the line labelled 'm'.

2.4. Position of the 'm'-line and the effects caused by phase instability

From the position of the 'm'-line it is clear why the quenched hpp does not transform to a metallic amorphous state on heating at ambient pressure: this state just does not correspond to any minimum of the thermodynamic potential at temperatures up to about 800 K.

The position of this line also explains why no amorphous state of $(GaSb)_{38}Ge_{24}$ has ever been obtained by quenching from the melt at ambient pressure: the metallic melt becomes unstable and inevitably transforms to some other state at a rather high temperature, above 800 K; the cooling rate slows down because of the heat release accompanying the transformation, and this allows crystallization of the alloy.



Figure 4. The T-P diagram of metastable equilibria in the $(GaSb)_{38}Ge_{24}$ alloy. The solid and open triangles stand for the sup \rightarrow hpp and hpp \rightarrow sup transitions at increasing and decreasing pressure, respectively.

Another interesting feature of the diagram is that the line of the metastable hpp \leftrightarrow mup equilibrium cannot extend below the 'm'-line because the mup does not exist there as a phase. The hpp \leftrightarrow mup line therefore terminates at a pseudo-critical point on intersection with the 'm'-line at about 500 K and 3 GPa; see the open circle in figure 3.

This pseudo-critical point is of a new type never analysed before. In particular, the physical sense (if any) of the hpp \leftrightarrow mup line extrapolated below the pseudo-critical point is not clear at present.

2.5. The melting curve of the hpp and hysteresis of the sup \leftrightarrow hpp transformation

It is widely accepted that the melting temperature of a solid is close to the temperature of its thermodynamic instability (an adequate bibliography concerning melting and instability of the crystals with respect to long-range order is given in [20]). One could expect therefore the hpp \leftrightarrow mup line itself (shown solid in figure 3) and its smooth extrapolation below the pseudo-critical point (shown dashed) to represent the boundary of thermodynamic stability of the hpp. This is exactly the idea exploited to account for amorphization of the high-pressure phase on crossing its extrapolated melting curve at a low temperature and pressure [15]. In the case of (GaSb)₃₈Ge₂₄, however, this idea does not work.

Figure 4 presents the hysteresis branches of the sup \leftrightarrow hpp transformation determined in the experiment. As one can see, the line of the hpp \rightarrow sup transition intersects the extrapolated hpp \leftrightarrow mup line at a rather high pressure of about 1 GPa. This cannot happen if the hpp does not exist on the left of the hpp \leftrightarrow mup line. The behaviour of the hpp \rightarrow sup line thus allows us to reach the conclusion that the boundary of the hpp stability region lies above room temperature at the pressures down to few hundred atmospheres and therefore differs considerably from the extrapolated hpp \leftrightarrow mup line at least for P < 1 GPa.

The intersection of the hpp \rightarrow sup line with the extrapolated hpp \leftrightarrow mup line also shows that the loss of thermodynamic stability of the crystalline phase is not a necessary condition for its amorphization. For example, at 373 K the hpp transforms to the sup at about 2.7 GPa (the rightmost open triangle in figure 4), deeply inside the region where the hpp can exist as a phase according to the discussion above.

Summing up, it can be concluded that at temperatures above 290 K the sup appears as a usual metastable phase. Its formation is thermodynamically possible under the conditions



Figure 5. The *T*-*P* diagram of phase transitions in the initially amorphous $Zn_{41}Sb_{59}$ alloy. sup_1 and sup_2 are the low-pressure and high-pressure semiconductor unordered phases; δ and γ are the stable and metastable crystalline phases. The right- and left-pointing arrows mark the pressure intervals of the $sup_2 \rightarrow \gamma$ and $\gamma \rightarrow sup_2$ transitions; the vertical dashed line at 3.3 GPa shows the tentative position of the line of the $sup_2 \leftrightarrow \gamma$ metastable equilibrium. The asterisks indicate the positions of the irreversible transitions of the sup and γ -phases to a mixture of ZnSb + Sb at increasing temperature; the open triangles indicate the irreversible $\gamma \rightarrow \delta$ transitions. The star marks the position of the critical point of the $sup_1 \leftrightarrow sup_2$ equilibrium line.

determined by the line of the hpp \leftrightarrow sup equilibrium and not by the melting line of the hpp extrapolated from higher temperatures as was often thought before.

3. The Zn₄₁Sb₅₉ alloy [6, 7]

Similarly to the case for $(GaSb)_{38}Ge_{24}$, the initial amorphous $Zn_{41}Sb_{59}$ alloy was prepared from a high-pressure phase (δ) with a narrow range of composition, and the T-P diagram presented in figure 5 was constructed using the same techniques. New elements of the $Zn_{41}Sb_{59}$ diagram are the region of the metastable γ -phase, which irreversibly transforms to the δ -phase on heating (triangles in figure 5), and the reversible first-order phase transition between two semiconductor amorphous phases, sup₁ and sup₂, that occurs at around 1 GPa.

At room temperature, the sup₁ \rightarrow sup₂ transition is accompanied by a 0.8% decrease in volume [21], and the sup₂ remains amorphous up to the pressure of the sup₂ $\leftrightarrow \gamma$ transition at about 6 GPa according to the *in situ* x-ray measurements in diamond anvils [6].

The temperature dependences of the pressures of the $\sup_1 \rightarrow \sup_2$ and $\sup_2 \rightarrow \sup_1$ transitions were determined using the electrical resistance measurement. The circles in figure 5 represent the positions of the steepest portions of the $\log \rho(P)$ isotherms measured under hydrostatic conditions with increasing and decreasing pressure in steps of 0.1 GPa. At 17 and 50 °C, the sample was kept at each point until a tenfold decrease in the slope of the log ρ versus time line was obtained, which took from 1 h at the edges of the pressure interval studied, of 0.4–1.7 GPa, to 15 h near the steepest portions of the log $\rho(P)$ dependences. At 75 °C, partial crystallization of the sample to a mixture of ZnSb + Sb contributed noticeably to the time dependence of log ρ , and the 75 °C isotherm was measured in an isochronic regime, by holding the sample at each given pressure for 3 h.

From a structural point of view, the only difference between amorphous phases with the same composition, like those in $Zn_{41}Sb_{59}$, is their different short-range orders. Therefore, equilibria between such amorphous phases should be of the same type as those between

different phases in one-component liquids, which are considered as 'liquid–liquid' or 'vapour– liquid' equilibria depending on the density of the constituent phases. On the T-P diagrams, the lines of these equilibria terminate either in a point of intersection with another equilibrium line or in a critical point. The behaviour of the electrical resistance of amorphous $Zn_{41}Sb_{59}$ suggests that the line of the sup₁ \leftrightarrow sup₂ equilibrium terminates in a critical point and that the critical temperature is of the order of 100 °C as indicated by the star in figure 5.

In fact, the $\sup_1 \rightarrow \sup_2$ and $\sup_2 \rightarrow \sup_1$ transitions are very sluggish and no acceleration is observed with increase in temperature from 17 to 75 °C. At the same time, the rate of crystallization to a mixture of ZnSb + Sb becomes noticeable at 75 °C, though this process requires diffusion of atoms over much longer distances than in the course of the isoconcentrational $\sup_1 \leftrightarrow \sup_2$ transformation. A significant decrease in the mobility of atoms is characteristic of systems approaching critical points. Therefore, the critical temperature of the $\sup_1 \leftrightarrow \sup_2$ transformation should not be far above 75 °C.

With kinetics of isomorphous phase transitions as sluggish as in the case of amorphous $Zn_{41}Sb_{59}$, one can expect the $sup_1 \leftrightarrow sup_2$ transformation to exhibit a clearly visible hysteresis until the volume effect of this transformation is zero, i.e., until the critical temperature is reached. As is seen from figure 5, linear extrapolations of the lines of the $sup_1 \rightarrow sup_2$ and $sup_2 \rightarrow sup_1$ transitions give zero hysteresis at a temperature of about 100 °C.

4. Conclusions

The analysis of the available experimental data and the model calculations showed that amorphous $(GaSb)_{38}Ge_{24}$ is a metastable phase (not just a 'frozen' non-equilibrium intermediate state) and allowed construction of the T-P diagram (figure 3) of metastable equilibria between this semiconductor unordered phase (sup), the crystalline high-pressure phase (hpp), and the metallic unordered phase (mup). In the diagram, both the sup and the mup can be considered as amorphous or liquid phases depending on their viscosity at a given temperature.

Amorphization of the $(GaSb)_{38}Ge_{24}$ hpp at decreasing pressure is shown to be thermodynamically possible under the conditions determined by the line of the hpp \leftrightarrow sup equilibrium and not by the melting curve of the hpp extrapolated from higher pressures, as was often thought before in similar cases. Moreover, the region where the mup can exist as a phase is bound from below (the 'm'-line in figure 3). The hpp melting curve terminates at a pseudo-critical point on intersection with this boundary and cannot be extended to lower temperatures.

The above features of amorphous $(GaSb)_{38}Ge_{24}$ are mainly determined by the topology of the T-P diagram and should be characteristic of amorphous states formed at decreasing pressure in many systems with similar T-P diagrams. The high-pressure investigation of amorphous $Zn_{41}Sb_{59}$ demonstrated the possibility of a first-order phase transition between two different amorphous semiconductor phases. The T-P diagram of $Zn_{41}Sb_{59}$ (figure 5) is the first example of a diagram with a line of phase equilibrium between such phases.

Acknowledgments

One of the authors (VEA) thanks the Organizing Committee of AIRAPT-18 for financial support for attending the Conference. The support from the Russian Foundation for Basic Research under Grant No 99-02-17007 is also gratefully acknowledged.

References

- [1] McDonald T R R et al 1965 Science 147 1441
- [2] Belash I T and Ponyatovsky E G 1977 High Temp.-High Pressures 9 651
- [3] Ponyatovsky E G and Barkalov O I 1992 Mater. Sci. Rep. 8 147
- [4] Sharma S M and Sikka S K 1996 Prog. Mater. Sci. 40 1
- [5] Antonov V E et al 1997 High Pressure Res. 15 201
- [6] Antonov V E et al 2000 Phys. Rev. B 62 3130
- [7] Antonov V E et al 2000 High Pressure Res. 17 261
- [8] Sidorov V A et al 1994 Phys. Rev. Lett. 73 3262
- [9] Brazhkin V V et al 1995 J. Mater. Sci. 30 443
- [10] Panish M B 1966 J. Electrochem. Soc. 113 626
- [11] Kolesnikov A I et al 2000 Phys. Rev. B 62 9372
- [12] Tonkov E Yu 1992 High Pressure Phase Transformations: a Handbook (Philadelphia, PA: Gordon and Breach)
- [13] Ishihara K N et al 1988 Mater. Trans. JIM Suppl. 29 249
- [14] Mishima O et al 1984 Nature 310 393
- [15] Ponyatovsky E G and Pozdnyakova T A 1995 J. Non-Cryst. Solids 188 1153
- [16] Chakraverty B K 1969 J. Phys. Chem. Solids 30 454
- [17] Regel A R and Glazov V M 1983 Sov. Phys.-Semicond. 17 1105
- [18] Ponyatovsky E G 1958 Dokl. Akad. Nauk 120 1021 Apetkar I L and Ponyatovsky E G 1967 Dokl. Akad. Nauk 173 852 (in Russian)
- [19] Ponyatovskii E G et al 1994 JETP Lett. 60 360
- [20] Vaidya S N 1984 Phys. Status Solidi a 86 565
 Vaidya S N 1985 Phys. Status Solidi a 87 181
 Vaidya S N 1985 Phys. Status Solidi a 91 37
- [21] Antonov V E et al 1993 J. Alloys Compounds 194 279